App. F. IX-4

Reserve

1935. 4 (LB.) 14-x-9

File No. 98-33

Serial Nos. 9, 10, 14, 16, 17, 20, 21,

Previous References
LB. 72-29 (4+8)
148-29 (9-13)
61-31 (1-3)

Letter References.
V.P. 32-36 (1)
LB 96-36 (1-3)
LB. 1341-5270
LB 1341-143-152
LB. 106-42 (1-10)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 9.10.14, 16, 17, 20, 21

Part B for January 1936

SUBJECT.

Proposal of the Deputy Comr. Hs. to assign 1/4 of the net realisations from the Shamilats held in the Village Panchayat areas of Hassan to the respective Village Panchayats

Go. No. L 5039-42 dt 7-11-36 directs that the 1/4 of the net annual realisations at each Shamilat be made over to the V.P. concerned, the amount being limited to 1/4 of the Panchayats' income from other sources

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-1-35

General and Revenue Secretariat | LB File No.

Serial No.

From the Rev. Commr c 3988/33-4 dt 29.5.

forwards copy of Hassan D.C's letter dt 10.3.34 stating that there are 54 shandies with an annual income of Rs 22,080 in the Dt that are being held in the jurisdiction of village Panchayets and, agreeing with the D.C., recommends that one fourth of the net collections may be ordered to be made over to the V.P.s concerned.

1) The D.C. says that the Board President who was asked to furnish the views of the Bd in 3 or 4 cases has forwarded resolns to the effect that the Bd is not in favour of apportionment and that it is not likely that this question will receive favorable consideration at the hands of the Bd

2) Before passing orders on the general proposal made in the Pmble it is necessary to obtain the resolns of the Bd. Draft Subd, up

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No.

Serial No.

Dault unsatisfactory, but there may be a ground for fixing a smaller proportion than one fourth and not for excusing the BD from paying anything at all.

9) The payment of a portion of the realisations is being made in some Districts e.g. Kadur and there seems to be no necessity to consider afresh the legal aspect of the question dealt with in the President's letter.

10) Put up orders

DeWeey) 22/11

11) Please note the cases where a portion is given to VP's etc. w.b.s.

he agree collection as be been separately and orders

Sus B for 1 month 18/12/34

MB

MB fortnightly reports MB 19

12) The connected papers have been separately submitted in connection with the apportionment of shandy collections in Chitaldrug Dt.

13) It is submitted that in the case of Varthur Bichkunavar Bettahalasur and Kithnarajahalli villages in Bangalore Dt. and in the case of shandis under Dt., 25 per cent of the realisations of shandy collections were moved to be paid to the village panchayats. In Tumkur Dt. 50% of the realisations

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. of 193 3

Serial No.

(18) Draft subd for approval to Govt 1/3/35

(19) Issue 4/3

Letter No L 6176 dated 7-3-35 to the Rev Comr calling for information re to in Para 16 above

20 Letter No C 3988/33-3 dated 19.3 from the Rev. Comr submitting the ~~to~~ inf^n required.-

(20) Subd with refce to Para 17. One Stt (flag V) gives the names of all the St ie 54. but Stt (flag (b)) gives of only 48. In some other cases no details are given.

22.3.35 22/3/35 (21) The annual income from each Shand, must be noted in pence below the name of the village in col. 3 & the total noted.

Subd after doing the needful 28/3

3-4-35 It is the income from the Shands that was required to be entered and not the income of the Panchayat has been entered now. Please enter the Shands income & resubmit

TYPING SECTION, 4 MAR 1935 GENL & REV. SECTT.

Apportionment of shandy collection in Hassan Dt

General and Revenue Secretariat | LB File No. 98 of 1933. | [App. F. III—2

	Serial No.	
T		(2) G.O. dated 9-1-32 sanctioning, that in respect of Krishnarajapuram shandy in Bangalore Dt, 1/4 of the net collections be made over to the V.P.
V.P 26-29-Sls 1, 2 32 etc flag J		(3) G.O. dated 5-3-34 sanctioning the ~~unconditional~~ payment ~~made over~~ of 1/4 of the sale realisations from the ~~respective~~ shandies in the Kadur Dt to the respective V.P
LB. 98-33-7 flag V.		(4) G.O. dated 27.4.35 sanctioning the ~~unconditional~~ apportionment of 1/4 ~~the~~ of the Shandy realisations to the respective V.Ps in Chitaldrug Dt
V.P 36-34-5 flag K.		(5) G.O. dated 2-7-35 sanctioning the apportionment of 1/4 of the realisations ~~to the V.Ps~~ from the shandies at Anavatti & Ayanur in the Shimoga Dt to the respective V.Ps.
V.P. 36-34-9 flag L		(6) G.O. dated 3-10-35 ~~dated~~ sanctioning apport. of 1/2 the realisations at Kadaba Shandy, in Tumkur Dt to the V.P. at Kadaba, Subbi Subbi
L.B. 59-35-3 flag M.		(7). In respect of Varthur & Chikbanavar shandies in Bangalore ~~Dt~~ taluk and Betthalur in Devanahalli taluk, 1/4 of the net realisations have been ordered to be given to the respective V.Ps.
ms under (Ans)		~~In the light~~ The question of apportionment of shandy realisations ~~at other~~ held at other places in the Bangalore Dt, is under consideration & the

Serial No.

Typ. see n.c. for a typed opn
10/12

Revised typed opn. put up as instructed
10/12/35.
13

Dev Secy) 13/12

For orders whether para 2 may not be omitted or whether it is the idea that the present distribution must hold good for some years

Secy Revenue

23.12

23/12

Yes. That is my idea to fix the maximum amounts for a period of about 5 years, so as to minimise the chances disputes arising.

25/12/35

26.12 issue as revised
26/12

The two Panchayat accounts are now available here

Mysore

26.12

21 To
1) The Pdt, Dt Bd Hassan Dt (Thro' the DC Hassan)
2) DC Hassan Dt
3) Rev Comr
4) Comptroller

G.O. No. L 5039-42 dated 7-1-36

Directs that 1/4th of the net realisations at each shandy be made over to the V.P. concerned, the amount being limited to 1/4th of the Panchayat income from other sources

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

1935 455 SECT.
OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 23 DEC 1935 No. 4833
TYPING SECTION. 26 DEC. 1935 GENL & REV.

No. C. 3988/33-34.

Excel 1 + one file

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 28th March 1934.

29 MAR 1934

L B 98-33-9

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Abdul Wajid, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General ~~Department~~ *Department, Bangalore.*

12 APR. 1934
L. B. O. BRANCH

29 MAR. 1934

Apportionment of the Shandy Income between the District Board and the Village Panchayets. Re -

---

SIR,

In forwarding herewith a copy of Hassan Deputy Commissioner's letter No.D.Dis.C.1682-32 dated 10th March 1934, in the matter of the apportionment of the Shandy Income between the District Board and the Village Panchayets, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner reports that there are 54 Shandies in the District which are being held in the jurisdiction of the Village Panchayets and recommends that one-fourth of the net realisations from these Shandies may be apportioned to the Village Panchayets concerned instead of crediting the entire realisations to the District Board. The average realisations from these 54 Shandies are reported to be Rs.22,080/- per annum. It is stated that the District Board are not willing to make over any part of the Shandy Income to the Village Panchayets. As some expenditure is incurred by the Village Panchayets on account of conservancy and sanitation of the places where the Shandies are held, I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that one-fourth of the net collections of the Shandies held in the Village Panchayet areas may be made over to the Village Panchayets concerned as was done in the case of the Kadur District in Government Order No.L.6555-57/L.B. 98-33-7 dated 5th March 1934.

2. The enclosure received ~~from~~ with the Deputy Commissioner's

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 7.5.34

Signed by Dr. A. S. Rao

List of enclosures .....

Copied by M.Murty 11.5.34

Examined by .....

Section LB

File No. 98-33

Serial No. 10

No. L 8022 Extracts

To The Presdt. L.B. Hassan thro the D

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter no C 3988/33-34 D 29-3 from the R.C. in Mysore Dy. its enclosure recommending sanction to the apportionment of one fourth of the net income from the several shandies in the Hassan Dt to the respective village Panchayets and to request that the matter may be kindly referred to the B. & its resolution. Sent up for the orders of Gov. I have &c

Asst. Sec. Dev. Dept.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 5th May 1934.

No. L8022/LB98-33-10

Encl Recd

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.

To

The President, District Board, Hassan,
Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No.C.3988/33-34 dated 29th March 1934 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore and of its enclosure and recommending sanction to the apportionment of one fourth of the net income from the several shandies in the Hassan District to the respective Village Panchayets and to request that the matter may be kindly referred to the Board and its resolution sent up for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ayubba Rao

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department

B.K.M.2

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD

NO. A3 228/32 Hassan, Dated 14th 15th August 1934.
ENCLS. nil

FROM

H. B. GUNDAPPA, ESQ., B. A., B. L.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
HASSAN.

TO

M.Venkatanarnappa, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Development
Department, Bangalore.

9481
LSGC
17 AUG. 1934

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.4022/L.B 98-33-10,dated 7th May 34, calling for the resolution of the District Board in the matter of apportionment of one fourth of the net income from the several shandies in this District to therespective Village Panchayets, I have the honor to state that this subject will be placed before the District Board at their meeting tobe held in September next and their views communicated to you soon after the meeting.

Subd for perusal
this maybe acknowledged
3/9

2. In this connection, I may submit for the information of Government that this question has formed the subject matter of discussion at the several meetings of the District Board on previous occasions and they have not expressed themselves in favour of the proposal, in-as-much-as the control, supervision and management of the shandies are entirely vested with the District Board under section 45 of the District Board's regulation and any diversion

F. Dis.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,

NO. A3 228/32

ENCLS. 1 only

Hassan, Dated 30th October 1934.

3-11-34

2096

FROM

H. B. GUNDAPPA, ESQ., B.A., B. L.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
HASSAN.

TO

M. Venkatanarnappa, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.A.3 228/32, dated 14/15th August 1934, in re: the apportionment of one-fourth of the net income from the shandies under the control of the District Board to the respective Village Panchayets, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the resolution of the District Board passed thereon at their meeting held on the 29th Septr. 1934 and to state as follows:-

The question of apportionment of revenue from shandies between the Village Panchayets and the District Board has formed the subject matter of discussion at the several meetings of the District Board for a few year's past with the result that they have not expressed themselves in favour of the proposal. The practice has been that while some District Boards viz., Kolar, Kadur etc., have accepted the proposals for the apportionment in some cases, there has been no general orders (of) Government governing such cases although the Government are of opinion that each

case might be disposed of on its merits separately. The present reference has been however initiated by the Deputy Commissioner, HassanDistrict who holds that it seems best to apportion definite shares between the District Board and the Village Panchayet and that ½ of the gross realisations from each shandy may be assigned to the Village Panchayets who may be made responsible for the sanitation on the shandy grounds. The Revenue Commissioner in agreeing with his views has recommended that ½ of the net collections might be made over to the Village Panchayets ~~has-the-result~~ and the Government have called for the resolution of the District Board in the matter.

In this connection, I may submit that all shandies in the District wherein fees were levied prior to the introduction of the new District Board and Village Panchayet Regulation were under the control of the defunct Taluk Boards and their services and commitments have been made over to the District Board as per District Boards Regulation of 1926. As a consequence, the revenues derived from these shandies were got credited to District Funds, as in the case of other assets as per G.O.No.3059-124/L.B. 25-26-14, dated 12th November 1926 and no apportinment of this income was made to the Village Panchayets constituted under the Village Panchayet Regulation, irrespective of the fact that they were either within the Village Panchayet area or not.

Under section 45 (c) of the new District Board Regulation the control, establishment, management,

maintenance and visiting of markets vest in the District Boards and the Boards are therefore responsible for these matters within the area subject to their authority. Sub section (f) of the same section provides that - measures necessary for public health, sanitation and other local services affecting villages under different Panchayets and sanitation during jatras ar fairs and festivals should be adopted by the District Boards. Sub Section (n) of the very same section also provides that any other local works or measures likely to promote health, safety, comfort, convenience, interest or welfare of the public in the District should be undertaken by the District Boards. Section 54 of the new District Board's Regulation also lays down that public market maintained out of District Funds are District Fund institutions. Further it can be clearly inferred from clause (i) of section 45 of the new District Board Regulation that such places which serve the common purpose of more than one Village Panchayet should be managed by the District Board. No where under the Village Panchayet Regulation, it is stated that the income from the shandies should be credited to Village Panchayet Funds nor should be managed by the Village Panchayets. The very id establishing Village Panchayets was to make them independent units and that common interest should be administered by District Boards. It is therefore clear that the income from the shandies should be the sole

property of the District Boards and not of the Village Panchayets.

With this idea in view, the Board have resolved that they are not in favour of any apportionment, as proposed as it would very adversely affect their already attenuated sources of revenues.

Considering the terms of reference of the Revenue Commissioner in this behalf, it will be seen that his recommendation has been based upon the assumption that some expenditure is being incurred by the Village Panchayets on account of conservancy and sanitation of the places where shandies are held and that on this score an apportionment is called for.

Per contra I would however submit that such functions viz., sanitation and conservancy are the obligatory duties of the Village Panchayets under section 20 of the Village Panchayet Regulation and that any contribution to the Village Panchayets on this account would be a diversion from the legitimate charge on the District Funds. Although I may incidentally state for the information of Government that the rules issued under the notification relating to the right to collect fees at the several market provide for the clearance of manure etc., collected on the shandy premises.

Then again it is a point for consideration that although some of the shandies in the District are being held in the Village Panchayet area, the people that assemble there are not of one single village but

from several different villages. Hence the question is one affecting the public of the District in general as against that of a single village Panchayet.

Further a majority of the Village Panchayets have not been making use of their income but have allowed the same to accumulate while the finances of the District Board have been greatly crippled by the transfer of Rural Mohatarfa to the Village Panchayet. In so far as no new assignments of revenue have been made by Government to reimburse the loss sustained by the District Board consequent on the transfer of Rural Mohatarfa the Board have been faced with a serious problem as to how best they could keep their expenditure within the income. The Proposal of the Revenue Commissioner is calculated to draw away ¼ of the revenue from the District Funds to the extent of nearly six thousands of rupees without any corresponding benefit from the Village Panchayets. Any facilities needed for the shandies have got to be provided by the District Boards alone by way of roads, putting up stalls, providing water facilities.

Under these circumstances, I would submit that it is not fair to apportion any portion of the collections from shandies to the concerned Village Panchayets as any such measures is bound to detract from the efficiency of such services besides crippling the already attenuated resources of the District Board. And I may also be permitted to state that any proposal to insist on payment of contribution would be against

Proceedings of the First Ordinary meeting held-on-the of the Hassan District Board, held on Saturday the 29th Septr. 1934.

Present.

H.B.Gundappa, Esq., B.A., B.L., President, District Board.

Ex-officio members. 4 Non-officials. 26.

11. This office letter No. A.3 225/32, dated 15th Aug.34, addressed to the Development Secretary communi ting the views of the Board re:apportionment of ½ of th shandy income between the District Board and the Villa Panchayets concerned.

Resolution of the standing committee:

Resolved that it is not possible to co tribute any portion of the shandy inc in view of the fact that according to section 54 of the District Board Regulatio the entire management and maintenance etc. is an obligatory duty on the District Boar and any deviation from it would be against the spirit of the District Boards Regulatic Further any such contribution would seriou affect the finances of the District Board.

Resolution. Resolved that it is not possible to contribute any portion of the shandy income in view of the fact that according to section 45 of the District Board's Regulation the entire management and maintenance is an abligatory duty on the District Board and any deviation from it would be against the spirit of the District Boards Regulation. Further any such contribution would seriously affect the finances of this Board.

Passed by majority.

Sd.H.B.Gundappa,
President, District Board,Hassan.

"Copy".

B. Gundappa

President,
District Board, Hassan.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore. 5th March 1935.

No. L 6176
L 3398-33-17

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.*

To

The Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.C.3988/33-34 dated 28th March 1934 in regard to the ~~appointment~~ apportionment of shandy collections in the Hassan District between the District Board and the village Panchayets, I am directed to request you to kindly furnish information on the following points at an early date:-

1) Which are the Village Panchayets where the shandies in question are situated?

2) What is the annual income and expenditure of those Village Panchayets?

3) What is the balance at credit and the arrears of taxes to be collected in the

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Appendix F. G.–1]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 7-3-35

Signed by

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

Section. L.B.

File No. 98-33

Serial No. 17

No. L 6176

To

The Rev Com in Mysore

~~The President Dt. Board Hsn.~~

~~through the D.C. Hassan~~

Sir

Adverting to your letter No ~~7. Dis. A3~~ C-3988/33-34 ~~228/32~~ dated ~~3-11-34~~ 28.3.1934 in regard to the appointment of shanbhogs collecting ~~of the~~ in the Hassan Dt. the Dt. Board ~~Hassan~~ and ~~to~~ the village headmen concerned, I am directed to request you to kindly provide information on the following points at an early date: —

1) Which are the villages ~~concerned~~ where the shanbhogs in question are situated?

2) What is the annual income and expenditure of those villages?

3) ~~What~~ What is the balance at credit and the arrears of ~~taxes to be~~ taxes to be collected in them.

No. C. 3988/33-34.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 14th March 1935.

15 MAR 1935

L.B. 98-33

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatanaranappa, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

Apportionment of the Shandy Income between the District Board and the Village Panchayets in the Hassan District.

SIR,

er No.L.6176/L.B. 98-33-17 dated the 7th March 1935, calling for certain particulars regarding the above subject, I have the honour to request that the file of connected papers forwarded with this office letter No.C.3988/33-34 dated the 28th March 1934 may be returned for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

16 MAR. 1935

Revenue Commissioner.

Letter No L6439 dated 16/3/35 to the President DB Hassan requesting him to send for the records to the Revenue Commr
Memo No L6440 dated 16/3/35 to the Rev Commr
Forwarding copy of the above for information

BR.

Appendix F. G.–1]

SUBJECT.

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 16/3/35

Signed by Mr. GRR

Immediate

Section. LB.

File No. 98.33

Serial No.

No. L 6439

To

The Prest. Dt. Bd. Hassan

thro' the D.C. Hassan Dt.

Sir

Adverting to the Correspondence ending with your letter No A-228/3 dated the 2nd Nov 1934 ~~and the~~ ~~D.C. Hassan with P.R. 790-33~~ ~~5/11/34~~ in regard to the appointment of the Shanbhog collection Village Panchayats in Hassan Dt. I am directed to state that the rec[illegible] ~~forwarded~~ sent to you with this office No L 8022/LB. 98-33-10 dated 7 ~~has~~ have not been received with you

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 16th March 1935

No. L 6439
LB. 98-33

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department.

To

The President,
District Board, Hassan.

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No.A.3-228-32 dated the 2nd November 1934 in regard to the apportionment of the shandy collection to the Village Panchayets in Hassan District, I am directed to state that the records sent to you with this office memo No. L 8022/L. R 98-33-10 dated 7th May 1934 have not been received with yo reply and to request you to kindly forward the same immediately to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore and intimate the fact to Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

(Sd) M. Venkatanaranappa
Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

M E M O. No. L 6460
Dated, Bangalore, 16th March 1935.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore for information with reference to his letter No.C.3988/33-34 dated the 14th March 193

4148

LB 98-33

TEL. ADDRESS: "PRESBOARD"

4436

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,

NO. 228-32
ENCLS. 4

Hassan, Dated 25th March 1935
26th

FROM

H. B. GUNDAPPA, ESQ., B. A., B. L.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
HASSAN.

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
03 APR. 1935
L. & A. BRANCH

TO

12919

The Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, HASSAN
28 MAR. 1935

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.6439/L.B. 98-33- dated 16th March 35, re: the return of records relating to the apportionment of shandy collection to the Village Panchayets in the Hassan District, I have the honor to state that the records referred to have this day been sent to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore as desired therein.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. B. Gundappa
President,
District Board, Hassan.

No. C.3988/33-34.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE

Dated Bangalore, 17th August 1935

19 AUG 1935

886

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To M. Venkatanaranappa, Esq., B.A.,
~~SXXABDULXXWAJIDXXESQ.XXBXX~~
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

LB 98-33—20

SECT. SEC.

19 AUG. 1935

L. & M. BRANCH.

SIR, Subject:- Apportionment between the District Board and the Village Panchayets of the Shandy income in the Hassan District.

With reference to your letter No. L.6176/L.B.98-33-17 dated the 5/7th March 1935 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement showing the particulars called for therein, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

N. Madhava Rao

Revenue Commissioner.

Letter No.C.3988/33-34 dated 28th March 1934 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore to the General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Apportionment of the Shandy Income between the District Board and the Village Panchayets. Re

In forwarding herewith a copy of Hassan Deputy Commissioner's letter No.D.Dis.C.1682-32 dated 10th March 1934, in the matter of the apportionment of the Shandy Income between the District Board and the Village Panchayets, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner reports that there are 54 Shandies in the District which are being held in the jurisdiction of the Village Panchayets and recommends that one-fourth of the net realisations from these Shandies may be apportioned to the Village Panchayets concerned instead of crediting the entire realisations to the District Board. The average realisations from these 54 Shandies are reported to be Rs.22,080/- per annum. It is stated that the District Board are not willing to make over any part of the Shandy Income to the Village Panchayets. As some expenditure is incurred by the Village Panchayets on account of conservancy and sanitation of the places where the Shandies are held, I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that one-fourth of the net collections of the Shandies held in the Village Panchayet areas may be made over to the Village Panchayets concerned as was done in the case o the Kadur District in Government Order No.L.6555-57/L.B.98-33-7 dated 5th March 1934.

2. The enclosure received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter accompanies for reference.

" C o p y "

A. Venkata Rao

for ~~General~~ Secretary to Government.

Dev. Dept
MB

Letter No.D.Dis.1682-32 dated 10th March 1934, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, to the Revenue Commissioner Mysore.

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.C.4006, 32-33 dated 28th March 1933, calling for proposals for the apportionment of the shandy realisations between the District Board and Village Panchayets, I have the honour to state as follows:--

From the information furnished by the Amildars of Taluks, it is found that more than fifty shandies are being held within the jurisdiction of the Village Panchayets, and so far, there has not been any apportionment of the shandy realisations in any case. The President, District Board, who had been requested to furnish the views of the Board in 3 or 4 cases has forwarded their resolutions to the effect that they are not in favour of apportionment. So, it is not likely that this question will receive favourable consideration at their hands.

Government have, in their order No.L.5341-58/V.P.50-27-3 dated 6th February 1929, observed that each case may be submitted for their orders on merits. In view of the large number of shandies that are being held in this District, the procedure laid down in the above Government Order will prolong the disposal of these questions. I am therefore of opinion that it seems best to apportion definite shares between these two local bodies and that one fourth of the gross realisations from each shandy may be assigned to the Village Panchayets who may be made responsible for the sanitation of the shandy grounds.

A statement showing the names of Village Panchayets within whose jurisdiction the shandies are being held, their demand, average income and expenditure and the amount realised by the District Board annually, is enclosed for kind perusal.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

No. C.3988/33-34

Copies

Dated Bangalore,...30th October.....1935

FROM

K.V.Anantaraman. Esq.,B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, *Bangalore.*

Apportionment between the District Board and the Village Panchayets of the Shandy income in the Hassan District.

SIR,

In continuation of this Office letter No.C.3988/33-34 dated 19th August 1935 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a supplemental statement received with Hassan District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.2389-35 dated 25th September 1935, (copy enclosed) of particulars called for in your letter No. L.6176/L.B.98-33-17 dated 5/7th March 1935.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K.V.Anantaraman

Revenue Commissioner.

Letter No.C.2389-35 dated ~~30~~th ~~October~~ 25 September 1935, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

In continuation of this office letter No.C.2389-34 dated 6-8-35, I have the honour to forward herewith a supplemental statement showing the names of the Village Panchayets within whose jurisdiction shandies are being held.

G Rudrappa

For Revenue Commissio

ent showing the financial position
jurisdiction Shandees are be

of Taluk	Name of Village panchayet	Demand of the Village panchayat RS			Average income Rs
	1. Dudda 336-00	245	12	0	336/
	2 Irawa 731-00	649 (541)	12	0	731/
	3. Kowsika 120-00	115	8	0	120/
	4. Salagame 276/-	197	8	0	276/
Hassan	5. Kabbami 52/-	59	8	0	52/
	6. Sellihalli 178/-	179	8	0	178/
	7. Jaggarvalli 91/-	77	0	0	91/
	8. Gorur 323/-	334	6	0	323/
	9. Yelagunda	—	—	—	
Alur sub Tk	No shandies	are			held
	1. Magge	not			fun
	2. Sukravarasante 421/-	544	0	0	421
	3. Haubal 346/-	475	0	0	346
Manjarabad	4. Byagadahalli 39/-	61	0	0	39
	5. Hemmi 122/-	260	0	0	122
	6 Belgod 1112/-	1443	0	0	1112
	7. Kenchamma Hosakote 480/-	747	0	0	480/
	1. Biccode 79/-	170	0	0	79/
	2. Hanike 168/-	92	8	0	168/
	3. Halebid 826/-	488	0	0	826/
	4. Gundihalli 72/-	120	0	0	72/
	5. Cheekanahalli 63/-	127	0	0	63/-
	6 Holalu 63/-	126	0	0	63/
	7. Anehalli				
	8 Hagare 368/-	297	0	—	

the Village Panchayats [illegible]
g held in several Taluks [illegible] District.

[illegible] expenditure Rs	Amount at the credit of the Village panchayat Rs			Amount [illegible] the [illegible]
105/-	1151	1	1	1200 00
729/-	13	2	5	564-00
38/-	401	13	7	420 00
123/-	765	1	1	450-00
15/-	184	1	0	200-00
78/-	502	4	4	310-00
80/-	54	12	3	
219/-	519	3	9	

[illegible] furnished [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	Taluk
[illegible]	-			
328/-	387	2	6	170-00
287/-	1038	1	3	-
40/-	42	1	7	
41/-	581	10	11	332-00
[illegible]/-	476	7	4	60-00
404	336	11	5	—
45/-	373	0	0	740-00
42/-	823	0	0	1250-00
23/-	469	0	0	605-00
14/-	339	0	0	245-00
7/-	339	0	0	76-00
7/-	334	0	0	80-00

9. Hanigunur					
10. Shuki Varasanthe 213/-	152			0 2.13	
1. Gundasi Boresanthe 175	1740	0		435	
2. Jadagal 566/-	2577	0		566	
3. Kanakatte. 235/-	560	0	0	235	
1. Bagur 456/-	675	8	0	5	
2. Nuggihalli 565/-	946	0	0	5	
3. Diduga 13-8-0	-	-	-	18	
4. Chikkagauni (Shimilasapur) 175/-	-	-	-	1	
5. Karahalli (Gosakatte) 70/-	-	-	-	1	
6. Yeliyur (Gosakatte) 66/-	-	-	-		
7. Hirisave 466/-	614	0	0	4	
8. Gulihonnenahalli 90/-	120	10	0		
9. Sankesikere 256/-	270	0	0	2	
1. Doddahalli 77/-	89	12	0		
2. Marinakere 119/-	50	0	0		
3. Doddakunche 112/-	168	8	0		
4. Jakkanahalli 20/-	20	12	0		
5. Hallimysore 103/-	148	8	0		
6. Simekalguda	not				
1. Holalgodu. 7/-	36	8	0		
2. Mallipatna. 229/-	186	12	0	9	
3. Hebbala 95/-	139	1	0		
4. Marur 92/-	228	8	0		
5. Hulikal 220/-	355	8	0	9	
6 Ainkanakalli	48	0	0		
7 Ramanathpur 183/-	314	8	0		
8. Basavapatna 785/-	700	8	0		
9. Keralapura 586/-	715	0	0		
10. Banur 80/-	138	12			

	798/-	527/-	-	-	3400/-
	733 ~~38~~/-	225/-			3300/-
-	82/-	126/-			300/-
-	283/-	758/-		.	170/-
-	801/-	1169/-			200/-
	31/-	158/-	-	-	475/-
5/	106/-	401	0	0	175/-
10/	8/-	1102	0	0	630/-
6 6/	22/-	1060	0	0	375/-
56/-	398/-	1224	0	0	480/-
10/-	4/-	148	0	0	-
4/-	127/-	666	0	0	-
17/-	22/-	339	0	0	490/-
19/-	77/-	353	0	0	175/-
12/-	[illegible]/-	554	0	0	[illegible]/-
20/-	[illegible]/-	100	0	0	—
3/-	[illegible]/-	391	0	0	166/-
2			-	-	183/-
1		25	4	0	—
9/-	158/-	[illegible]9	7	0	400
5	115/-	22	3	4	336/-
2/-	36/-	464	0	5	1000/-
20/-	192/-	[illegible]09	13	9	92/-
-	-		-	-	355
33/-	176/-	244	[illegible]	[illegible]	
80/-	793/-				

Annexure

Annual income of the Panchayat 3	Annual income from the shandy 4

Name of taluk 1	Serial Number 1	Name of Village Panchayats where shandies are held 2	Amount of shandy income ~~in Rs.~~ payable to the Panchayat 4 5
Hassan	1.	Shantigrama	162-7-0
	2.	Kowsika	29-6-0
	3.	Dudda	61-13-0
	4.	Salagama	44-9-0
	5.	Gorur	55-12-0
	6.	Kabbatti	12-8-0
	7.	Settihalli	1-12-0
	8.	Jagaravalli	6-0-0
Manjarabad	1	Belagod	112-8-0
	2	Harihalli	23-12-0
	3.	Kurubattur	87-8-0
	4.	Magge	70-0-0
	5	Hethur	48-12-0
	6.	Hanbal	41-4-0
	~~7.~~	~~Bagagadahalli~~ (already ~~not held~~)	— — —
Belur	1.	Hanike	31-4-
		Gendehalli	23-0-
		Halebid	137-8-
		Hagare	55-0-
		Jodi Hulgandi	6-4-
		~~Bik~~ Bicoodi	26-0-0
		Arehalli	125-0-0
		Shekkana halli	20-12-0
		Tolalu	

Name of taluk	Serial No	Name of village	Income
Arsikere	1	Javagal	2,34-0-0
	2	Kanakatte	91-0-0
	3	Selenakatte	17-10-0
Channarayapatna	1.	Doddagami (Srinivasapur)	34-10-0
	2	Bogur	156-6-0
	3.	Nuggihalli	186-10-0
	4	Karehalli	52-11-0
	5	Guli Hamenahalli	19-3-0
	6.	Santesivara	63-0-0
	7.	Hiresave	150-0-0
	8.	Annehalli (Jodagatte)	22-10-0
	9.	Diduga	31-5-0
Holenarsipur	1.	Doddakunche	42-2-0
	2.	Mavinakere	12-8-0
	3.	Doddahalli	22-7-0
	4.	Jakkanahalli	5-2-0
	5.	Halli Mysore	42-3-0
Arkalgud	1.	Holalgodu	4-11-0
	2.	Mallipatna	55-13-0
	3.	Hebbale	44-12-0
	4.	Marur	19-13-0
	5	Hulikal	87-8-0
	6.	Ramanathpur	77-4-0
	7.	Ankanahalli	10-8-0
	8	Basavapatna	199-2-0

Name of taluk 1	Serial No 2	Name of Village Panchayats where shandis are held 3	Amount 4
Afzalpur (Continued)	9	Kerlapur	176-6-0
	10	Bannur	15-0-0
	11	Hethagondanahalli	13-13-0

Secy to Govt
Leg Dev Dept

L B98-33-34

37

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
he Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

G.O. No. L. 5341-58/V.P. 50-27-3 dated 6-2-29 recognising the principle of the District Boards giving a share of the shandy realisations to the Village Panchayets under whose jurisdiction the shandies are held each case being considered on its merits according to the financial condition of the District Board and the Village Panchayet concerned.

2. Letter No. C. 3988/33-34 dated 28-3-34 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, recommending sanction to the proposal of the Dy. Commr., Hassan District, to assign one fourth of the net realisations from the Shandies held in the Village Panchayet areas of the Hassan District, to the respective Village Panchayets

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. 228-34 dated the 26th March 1935 from the President, District Board, Hassan, forwarding a resolution of the Board that it is not possible to contribute any portion of the shandy realisations to the Village Panchayets.

Order No. L 5039-42 dated 7-1-36.

The principle of assigning a portion of the shandy realisations to the respective V.P under whose jurisdiction the shandies are held, having been recognised by Government in their order dated 6-2-1929 read above, they are pleased to direct, on a careful consideration

of the finances of the Hassan District Board and the Village Panchayets in question, that one fourth of the net annual realisations from each shandy be given by the District Board to the Village Panchayet concerned the amount being however limited to one fourth of ~~its~~ the Panchayet income from other sources.

The amounts to be given to the several Panchayets on the basis of the present realisations from the shandies and the other income of the Panchayets maybe fixed ~~are indicated in the annuexure to this order~~. The President, District Board, Hassan, is requested to arrange for the early payment of the amount due to each Panchayet.

Secy. to Govt. D.D.

To

The President, District Board, Hassan
(thro' the Dy. Commr.)
The Dy. Commr. Hassan District.
The Rev. Commr.
The Comptroller to Govt.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS/
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read

Government Order No. L. 5341-58/V.P. 50-27-3, dated 6th February 1929, recognising the principle of the District Boards giving a share of the shandy realisations to the Village Panchayets under whose jurisdiction the shandies are held, each case being considered on its merits according to the financial condition of the District Board and the Village Panchayet concerned.

2. Letter No C. 3988/33-34, dated 28th March 1934, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore recommending sanction to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, to assign one-fourth of the net realisations from the shandies held in the Village Panchayet areas of the Hassan District to the respective Village Panchayet

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. 228-34, dated the 26th March 1935 from the President, District Board, Hassan forwarding a resolution of the Board that it is not possible to contribute any portion of the shandy realisations to the Village Panchayets.

Bangalore, dated the 7th January 1936.

The principle of assigning a portion of the shandy realisations to the respective Village Panchayets under whose jurisdiction the shandies are held having been recognised by Government in their Order dated 6th February 1929 read above,

finances of the Hassan District Board and the Village Panchayets in question, that one-fourth of the net annual realisations from each shandy be given by the District Board to the village Panchayet concerned. the amount being however limited to one-fourth of the Panchayet's income from other sources.

The amounts to be given to the several Panchayets on the basis of the present realisations from the shandies and the other income of the Panchayets may be fixed by the Deputy Commissioner which will continue to be in force for a period of 5 years. The President, District Board, Hassan is requested to arrange for the early payment of the amount due to each Panchayet.

To

The President, District Board Hassan through the
Deputy Commissioner. Hassan District.
Deputy Commissioner. Hassan District
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
Comptroller to Government.